

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/635,721	08/05/2003	Thomas M. Baer	ARC012000107 1943	
75	90 05/04/2005		EXAMINER	
Rimas Lukas			NGUYEN, TU T	
Lukas IP Group PO Box 3295			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Half Moon Bay	, CA 94019-3295		2877	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/635,721	BAER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tu Nguyen	2877			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 F	ebruary 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims		·			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>22-30</u> is/are pending in the application for the above claim(s) is/are withdraware Claim(s) <u>22-27 and 30</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>28-29</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers					
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) 🗌 -	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the correction of the correction is objected to by the E	=	-			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[/ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document All Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Cepies of the certified copies of the priority document Cepies of the certified copies of the priority document Cepies of the certified copies of the priority document Cepies of the certified copies of the priority document Cepies of the Cepies o	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment	(s)					
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dail 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/635,721

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonner et al (6,251,516) in view of Takahashi (5,656,812).

With respect to claim 28, Bonner discloses a Laser Micro Dissection (LCM hereinafter). The LCM comprises: a microscope 3 (fig 1) (column 4, lines 15-48, lines 50-51); a laser 36 (fig 8C) having a beam path 36 (fig 8C), a translation stage 2, 30 (fig 1, 8a) for receiving the sample (column 4, lines 44-48). Bonner does not explicitly disclose using a joystick for moving the translation stage. However, Takahashi discloses a microscope for inspecting a specimen. The microscope comprises a joystick to move the translation stage (column 4, lines 4-23). It would have been obvious to implement the joystick taught by Takahashi to the translation stage of Bonnet in order to make it easier for the user to control the movement of the translation stage.

With respect to claim 29, since Takahashi teaches that the joystick permits the operator to move the translation stage (column 4, lines 12-15), Takahashi obviously encompasses teaching that joystick is manual.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,721

Art Unit: 2877

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22-27,30 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior arts of record do not disclose a LCM system. The system comprises: a joystick connected to a translation stage, the joystick being configured to reduce a scalar movement defined by an operator and having a leverage ratio to control sample movement as a function of operator hand movement which structurally arranged and functionally operated as claimed in claim 22.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/08/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument on page 5 through page 6, Bonner does not disclose the joystick as admitted by examiner in the section 35 USC 103 above. The joystick used for moving the translation stage of a microscope is disclosed by Takahashi in col.4, lines 4-19. It is noted that the filing date of Takahashi patent is on July 19, 1996 that is well before the earliest US effective filing date of the present application (the earliest US filing date of the present application should be on 10/1/1997 for the provisional application 60/060,731 which discloses the joystick). Bonner teaches other features such as the microscope, the laser, the translation stage in the parent applications, namely, in the provisional application 60/036,927 (filing date

Art Unit: 2877

2/7/1997) and in the parent application patent No. 5,843,657 ('657 hereinafter) (filing date 10/10/1995) the relevant sections taught in patent patent '657 are col.4, lines 25-39; col.5, lines 1-11; col.12, lines 8-17, lines 51-54; col.13, lines 1-30. In the patent '657, the movement of sample can be made by the transfer surface 30 (fig.8A) (col.13, lines 1-4), patent '657 also implies the movement of the translation stage 2 (fig.1) in col.5, lines 2-4 because if the stage of the microscope is fixed, the position of the stage is not necessarily detected each time the computer performs the control. Moreover, it is noted that claim 28 does not disclose the movement of the sample as asserted by the applicant.

The same ground of rejection is herein maintained, accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2424. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Toatley Gregory can be reached on (571) 272-2059. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu Nguyen
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2877

04/28/2005